Step One: Completion date—Thursday, September 6th

- Commissioners receive public testimony.

Step Two: Completion date—Monday, September 24th

- Using the Commissioner Draft Comment Form, Commissioners forward to the Legislative Auditor any proposed comments by September 24th. (See Commissioner Comment Form.)

- The Legislative Auditor will compile proposed comments and distribute to all Commissioners by C.O.B., Wednesday, September 26th.

- For an example of the format of comments from prior years, See Exhibit 1.

Step Three: Completion date—Wednesday, October 3rd

- Chair to prepare consolidated draft of proposed Commissioner comments. Legislative Auditor will distribute to all Commissioners.

Step Four: Completion date—Wednesday, October 10th

- At the October 10th meeting, Commissioners will determine which comments to adopt. JLARC staff will be prepared to amend proposed comment language on the spot during the meeting, as Commissioners deliberate on the various comment proposals.
First, the Commission will consider comments for preferences that received a full JLARC staff review.

- Typically, Commissioners have elected to use one of the following options for each comment (See example in Exhibit 1)
  a) Endorse Legislative Auditor Recommendation without comment
  b) Endorse Legislative Auditor Recommendation with additional comment
  c) Do Not Endorse Legislative Auditor Recommendation without comment
  d) Do Not Endorse Legislative Auditor Recommendation with additional comment

- The Chair will ask for proposals for comments individually for each of the seven preferences reviewed by JLARC staff.
- Any Commissioner can ask to add a minority report reflecting their individual comments. (See Exhibit 2)

### PREFERENCES REVIEWED BY JLARC STAFF WITH LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR RECOMMENDATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Legislative Auditor Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft for Air Ambulances</td>
<td>Continue and Clarify (Structural Purpose)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom Farming and Hauling Farm Products</td>
<td>Continue and Clarify (Structural Purpose)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonprofit and Library Fundraising</td>
<td>Continue and Clarify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Projects in High Unemployment Counties and Community Empowerment Zones</td>
<td>Review Sufficiency of Outcomes and Add Metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government-Funded Behavioral Health Services</td>
<td>Determine Whether to Continue (Policy Decision)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Headquarters Investment Projects</td>
<td>Allow to Expire and Consider Other Strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily Housing in Mason County</td>
<td>Allow to Expire and Consider Other Strategies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Then the Commission will consider whether there are any comments they wish to adopt for preferences that received an expedited review.

- See Expedited Review report posted [here](#).
- The Commission has the option, but is not required, to comment on any preference with an Expedited Review.
- Any preferences proposed for commentary will be discussed individually.
- The Commission will then entertain a motion to act on each proposal.
- Any Commissioner can ask to add a minority report reflecting their individual comments.
EXHIBIT 1: EXAMPLE FROM 2017 COMMISSIONER COMMENT PROCESS

Adopted Comment
State Chartered Credit Unions (B&O Tax)

Legislative Auditor Recommendation: Clarify
The Legislature should clarify the B&O tax exemption for state-chartered credit unions to identify public policy objectives because none are stated in statute. As part of the clarification, the Legislature should provide a performance statement that provides targets and metrics to measure whether the public policy objectives have been achieved. The preference has incentivized credit unions to remain under state regulations, but the inferred objective of serving low-income populations is unclear. The Legislature may want to consider if a public policy objective to serve low-income populations is consistent with other state-chartered credit union policy objectives, such as providing a broad field of membership.

Commission Comment: The Commission endorses the Legislative Auditor’s recommendation with comment.
The Legislature should define the policy objectives for this preference. Representatives from the credit union (CU) industry shared compelling testimony that showed this preference allows many CUs to remain state-chartered, and it preserves their non-profit character. However, both of those goals are derived from the industry’s interpretation of its state authorizing legislation, and not from legislation that authorized this preference. Because of that ambiguity, staff inferred a third policy objective – serving underserved populations – that the industry supports but does not consider one of the main goals for this preference. It is in both the Legislature’s and industry’s interest to clarify the public policy objectives and performance metrics for this preference going forward.
Article VII: Minority Reports

Section 1: Minority Report(s): Any Commission member may request a minority report for any motion that has been approved by a vote of the Commission. Requests must be made to the Chair at the meeting, following the approval of the motion. The Chair shall ensure that minority reports requested by members are registered in the record of business for the Commission meeting.